1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 CHARLES ELLIS, Plaintiff, V. KERN MEDICAL CENTER, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On April 16, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations. recommending this action proceed only on plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment claims against defendants Gonzalez and Hodge and that defendant Kern Medical Center be dismissed from the action. Doc. 13. The findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained							
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CHARLES ELLIS, Plaintiff, V. KERN MEDICAL CENTER, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding proceeding proceed proceeding proce							
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CHARLES ELLIS, Plaintiff, V. KERN MEDICAL CENTER, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding proceeding proceeding proceeding proceeding proce							
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CHARLES ELLIS, Plaintiff, V. KERN MEDICAL CENTER, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On April 16, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, recommending this action proceed only on plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment claims against defendants Gonzalez and Hodge and that defendant Kern Medical Center be dismissed from the							
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CHARLES ELLIS, Plaintiff, V. KERN MEDICAL CENTER, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On April 16, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, recommending this action proceed only on plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment claims against defendants Gonzalez and Hodge and that defendant Kern Medical Center be dismissed from the							
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 CHARLES ELLIS, Plaintiff, V. KERN MEDICAL CENTER, et al., Defendants. Defendants. Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On April 16, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, recommending this action proceed only on plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment claims against defendants Gonzalez and Hodge and that defendant Kern Medical Center be dismissed from the							
B UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 CHARLES ELLIS, Plaintiff, V. KERN MEDICAL CENTER, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On April 16, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, recommending this action proceed only on plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment claims against defendants Gonzalez and Hodge and that defendant Kern Medical Center be dismissed from the							
Plaintiff, V. RERN MEDICAL CENTER, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On April 16, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, recommending this action proceed only on plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment claims against defendants Gonzalez and Hodge and that defendant Kern Medical Center be dismissed from the							
CHARLES ELLIS, Plaintiff, V. KERN MEDICAL CENTER, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On April 16, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending this action proceed only on plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment claims against defendants Gonzalez and Hodge and that defendant Kern Medical Center be dismissed from the							
CHARLES ELLIS, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, V. KERN MEDICAL CENTER, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On April 16, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, recommending this action proceed only on plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment claims against defendants Gonzalez and Hodge and that defendant Kern Medical Center be dismissed from the	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
Plaintiff, v. KERN MEDICAL CENTER, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On April 16, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, recommending this action proceed only on plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment claims against defendants Gonzalez and Hodge and that defendant Kern Medical Center be dismissed from the							
RECOMMENDATIONS TO PROCEED ON CERTAIN CLAIMS AND TO DISM KERN MEDICAL CENTER, et al., Defendants. Defendants. Doc. 13 Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On April 16, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, recommending this action proceed only on plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment claims against defendants Gonzalez and Hodge and that defendant Kern Medical Center be dismissed from the							
ON CERTAIN CLAIMS AND TO DISM KERN MEDICAL CENTER, et al., Defendants. Defendants. Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On April 16, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending this action proceed only on plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment claims against defendants Gonzalez and Hodge and that defendant Kern Medical Center be dismissed from the	D						
KERN MEDICAL CENTER, et al., Defendants. Defendants. Doc. 13 Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On April 16, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending this action proceed only on plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment claims against defendants Gonzalez and Hodge and that defendant Kern Medical Center be dismissed from the	MISS						
Doc. 13 Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On April 16, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, recommending this action proceed only on plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment claims against defendants Gonzalez and Hodge and that defendant Kern Medical Center be dismissed from the	ACTION FOLLOWING SCREENING OF PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT						
Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On April 16, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending this action proceed only on plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment claims against defendants Gonzalez and Hodge and that defendant Kern Medical Center be dismissed from the							
Plaintiff Charles Ellis is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On April 16, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending this action proceed only on plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment claims against defendants Gonzalez and Hodge and that defendant Kern Medical Center be dismissed from the							
filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On April 16, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, recommending this action proceed only on plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment claims against defendants Gonzalez and Hodge and that defendant Kern Medical Center be dismissed from the							
pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On April 16, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, recommending this action proceed only on plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment claims against defendants Gonzalez and Hodge and that defendant Kern Medical Center be dismissed from the	tion						
On April 16, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, recommending this action proceed only on plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment claims against defendants Gonzalez and Hodge and that defendant Kern Medical Center be dismissed from the							
recommending this action proceed only on plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment claims against defendants Gonzalez and Hodge and that defendant Kern Medical Center be dismissed from the							
defendants Gonzalez and Hodge and that defendant Kern Medical Center be dismissed from the							
action. Doc. 13. The findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained	<u> </u>						
notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen days after service. <i>Id.</i> at 10–11.							
Plaintiff filed a notice of change of address on April 28, 2025, Doc. 14, and the findings and							
recommendations were re-served to the new address that same day. <i>See</i> docket. Plaintiff did not							
file objections to the findings and recommendations and the time to do so has passed. 1							

	Case 1:23-cv	-01344-KES-SKO	Document 15	Filed 07/23/25	Page 2 of 2		
1	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court has conducted a de novo review of this case.						
2	Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes that the findings and recommendations						
3	are supported by the record and proper analysis.						
4	Accordingly:						
5	1.	The Findings and Recommendations issued on April 16, 2025, Doc. 13, are					
6		adopted in full;					
7	2.	This action proceeds on plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment claims against					
8	defendants Gonzalez and Hodge;						
9	3.	Defendant Kern Me	edical Center is dis	smissed from the ac	etion; and		
10	4.	4. The matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further					
11		proceedings.					
12							
13				_			
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.			Link from			
15	Dated: _	July 22, 2025	Ţ	JNITED STATES I	DISTRICT JUDGE		
16							
17							
18							
19							
2021							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							